

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,244	PUPUTTI, MATTI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TRI H. PHAN	2471

All Participants:

(1) Tri H. Phan.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(2) Alfred A. Fressola (Reg. No. 27,550).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2010

Time: 3:19 PM

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Discussed for the broadest reasonable interpretation of limitations "computer readable medium" (claims 23 and 30) and "encapsulator" (claim 35).

Claims discussed:

Claims 23, 30 and 35.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached and authorization for the examiner's amendment on claims 23, 30 and 35.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tri H. Phan/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2471

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)